Time Series Analysis

INFO 523 - Lecture 13

Dr. Greg Chism

Lesson 3: Correlations

 Many correlation measures have been proposed over the years

- Many correlation measures have been proposed over the years
- The most well known one is the Pearson Correlation

$$\rho(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(x_i - \mu_y)}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$$

- Many correlation measures have been proposed over the years
- The most well known one is the Pearson Correlation

$$\rho(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(x_i - \mu_y)}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$$

Assumes a linear relationship between x and y.

- Many correlation measures have been proposed over the years
- The most well known one is the Pearson Correlation

$$\rho(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(x_i - \mu_y)}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$$

Assumes a linear relationship between x and y.



- The correlation of two time series gives you an indication of how similar their behavior is
- Two completely unrelated time series (say, two sequences of random numbers) will have a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0

- The correlation of two time series gives you an indication of how similar their behavior is
- Two completely unrelated time series (say, two sequences of random numbers) will have a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0

Number of people who drowned by falling into a pool



Films Nicolas Cage appeared in

tylervigen.com

- The correlation of two time series gives you an indication of how similar their behavior is
- Two completely unrelated time series (say, two sequences of random numbers) will have a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0





Films Nicolas Cage appeared in

tylervigen.com

- The correlation of two time series gives you an indication of how similar their behavior is
- Two completely unrelated time series (say, two sequences of random numbers) will have a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0
- Correlation != Causation!
- Adding a trend to both series we immediately observe a significant correlation

The Pearson correlation of two trending series is overwhelmed by the trend

It follows from the previous slide that a series will have a perfect correlation with itself, but what about lagged versions of itself?

- It follows from the previous slide that a series will have a perfect correlation with itself, but what about lagged versions of itself?
- We define the Auto-correlation function as the Pearson correlation between values of the time series at different lags, as a function of the lag:

 $ACF_x(l) = \rho(x_t, x_{t-l})$

- It follows from the previous slide that a series will have a perfect correlation with itself, but what about lagged versions of itself?
- We define the Auto-correlation function as the Pearson correlation between values of the time series at different lags, as a function of the lag:

$$ACF_x(l) = \rho(x_t, x_{t-l})$$

• By definition, $ACF_{\chi}(0) \equiv 1$

- It follows from the previous slide that a series will have a perfect correlation with itself, but what about lagged versions of itself?
- We define the Auto-correlation function as the Pearson correlation between values of the time series at different lags, as a function of the lag:

$$ACF_x(l) = \rho(x_t, x_{t-l})$$

- By definition, $ACF_{x}(0) \equiv 1$
- And as the lag *l* increases, the value of the *ACF* tends to decrease

- It follows from the previous slide that a series will have a perfect correlation with itself, but what about lagged versions of itself?
- We define the Auto-correlation function as the Pearson correlation between values of the time series at different lags, as a function of the lag:

$$ACF_x(l) = \rho(x_t, x_{t-l})$$

- By definition, $ACF_x(0) \equiv 1$
- And as the lag *l* increases, the value of the *ACF* tends to decrease
- We can calculate the confidence interval for the *ACF* using:

- It follows from the previous slide that a series will have a perfect correlation with itself, but what about lagged versions of itself?
- We define the Auto-correlation function as the Pearson correlation between values of the time series at different lags, as a function of the lag:

$$ACF_x(l) = \rho(x_t, x_{t-l})$$

- By definition, $ACF_{x}(0) \equiv 1$
- And as the lag *l* increases, the value of the *ACF* tends to decrease
- We can calculate the confidence interval for the ACF using:

$$CI = \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}(1+2\sum_{l=1}^{k}r_l^2)}$$

- It follows from the previous slide that a series will have a perfect correlation with itself, but what about lagged versions of itself?
- We define the Auto-correlation function as the Pearson correlation between values of the time series at different lags, as a function of the lag:

$$ACF_x(l) = \rho(x_t, x_{t-l})$$

- By definition, $ACF_{x}(0) \equiv 1$
- And as the lag *l* increases, the value of the *ACF* tends to decrease
- We can calculate the confidence interval for the ACF using:

$$CI = \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} (1+2\sum_{l=1}^{k} r_l^2)}$$

• Where $z_{1-\alpha/2}$ is the quantile of the normal distribution corresponding to the significance level α and r_l are the values of the ACF for a specific lag l

- It follows from the previous slide that a series will have a perfect correlation with itself, but what about lagged versions of itself?
- We define the Auto-correlation function as the Pearson correlation between values of the time series at different lags, as a function of the lag:

$$ACF_x(l) = \rho(x_t, x_{t-l})$$

- By definition, $ACF_{\chi}(0) \equiv 1$
- And as the lag *l* increases, the value of the *ACF* tends to decrease
- We can calculate the confidence interval for the ACF using:

$$CI = \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}(1+2\sum_{l=1}^{k}r_l^2)}$$

• Where $z_{1-\alpha/2}$ is the quantile of the normal distribution corresponding to the significance level α and r_l are the values of the ACF for a specific lag l

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Correlogram







 One of the disadvantages of the Autocorrelation function is that it still considers the intermediate values

- One of the disadvantages of the Autocorrelation function is that it still considers the intermediate values
- The Partial autocorrelation function calculates the correlation function between x_t and x_{t-l} after explaining away all the intermediate values $x_{t-1} \cdots x_{t-l+1}$

- One of the disadvantages of the Autocorrelation function is that it still considers the intermediate values
- The Partial autocorrelation function calculates the correlation function between x_t and x_{t-l} after explaining away all the intermediate values $x_{t-1} \cdots x_{t-l+1}$
- Intermediate values are "explained away" by fitting a linear model:

$$\hat{x}_{t} = f(x_{t-1} \cdots x_{t-l+1}) \\ \hat{x}_{t-l} = f(x_{t-1} \cdots x_{t-l+1})$$

- One of the disadvantages of the Autocorrelation function is that it still considers the intermediate values
- The Partial autocorrelation function calculates the correlation function between x_t and x_{t-l} after explaining away all the intermediate values $x_{t-1} \cdots x_{t-l+1}$
- Intermediate values are "explained away" by fitting a linear model:

$$\hat{x}_{t} = f(x_{t-1} \cdots x_{t-l+1}) \\ \hat{x}_{t-l} = f(x_{t-1} \cdots x_{t-l+1})$$

And then calculating the Pearson correlation function between the values and their residuals:

- One of the disadvantages of the Autocorrelation function is that it still considers the intermediate values
- The Partial autocorrelation function calculates the correlation function between x_t and x_{t-l} after explaining away all the intermediate values $x_{t-1} \cdots x_{t-l+1}$
- Intermediate values are "explained away" by fitting a linear model:

$$\hat{x}_{t} = f(x_{t-1} \cdots x_{t-l+1}) \\ \hat{x}_{t-l} = f(x_{t-1} \cdots x_{t-l+1})$$

And then calculating the Pearson correlation function between the values and their residuals:

$$PACF_{x}(l) = \rho(x_{t} - \hat{x}_{t}, x_{t-l} - x_{t-l+1})$$

- One of the disadvantages of the Autocorrelation function is that it still considers the intermediate values
- The Partial autocorrelation function calculates the correlation function between x_t and x_{t-l} after explaining away all the intermediate values $x_{t-1} \cdots x_{t-l+1}$
- Intermediate values are "explained away" by fitting a linear model:

$$\hat{x}_{t} = f(x_{t-1} \cdots x_{t-l+1}) \\ \hat{x}_{t-l} = f(x_{t-1} \cdots x_{t-l+1})$$

 And then calculating the Pearson correlation function between the values and their residuals:

$$PACF_{x}(l) = \rho(x_{t} - \hat{x}_{t}, x_{t-l} - x_{t-l+1})$$

 Confidence intervals can be computed using the same formula as the ACF

- One of the disadvantages of the Autocorrelation function is that it still considers the intermediate values
- The Partial autocorrelation function calculates the correlation function between x_t and x_{t-l} after explaining away all the intermediate values $x_{t-1} \cdots x_{t-l+1}$
- Intermediate values are "explained away" by fitting a linear model:

$$\hat{x}_{t} = f(x_{t-1} \cdots x_{t-l+1}) \\ \hat{x}_{t-l} = f(x_{t-1} \cdots x_{t-l+1})$$

And then calculating the Pearson correlation function between the values and their residuals:

$$PACF_{x}(l) = \rho(x_{t} - \hat{x}_{t}, x_{t-l} - x_{t-l+1})$$

 Confidence intervals can be computed using the same formula as the ACF

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Partial_autocorrelation_function



Code – Correlations